

## Comparison of VO<sub>2</sub> Max Prediction Value, Physiological Response, and Borg Scale between 12-Minute and 3200-Meter Run Fitness Tests among Indonesian Army Soldiers

Maulidi Abdillah, Tertianto Prabowo, Marietta Shanti Prananta

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran-Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital

### Abstract

**Objective:** To compare the VO<sub>2</sub> max and physiological responses between 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests.

**Methods:** The subjects were 40 soldiers aged 18–21 years old of the Infantry Battalion 303/SSM of Cikajang, Garut, West Java, Indonesia. The 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests were conducted with one week resting period. The measurement of the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction was based on each of the formulations and the measurement of physiological response included blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, Borg scale and oxygen saturation before and after the tests. This study used a cross-sectional method while the data were analyzed statistically using t-test (p=0.05).

**Results:** The results showed that the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction in 12-minute run fitness test was 52.046±2.980 mL/KgBB<sub>2</sub>/min and the 3200-meter run test was 55.323±3.238 mL/KgBB<sub>2</sub>/min. The value was statistically significant (p=0.008). There was no significant difference in the physiological response in both tests, except the SpO<sub>2</sub> parameter after the tests (p=0.021).

**Conclusions:** There is a significant difference in VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction between 12 minutes and 3200 meter run test. No significant difference was found in the physiological response in both tests, except the SpO<sub>2</sub> parameter.

Received:  
January 26, 2016

Revised:  
May 20, 2016

Accepted:  
August 3, 2016

**Keywords:** 12-minute run fitness test, 3200-meter run fitness test, physiological response, soldiers, VO<sub>2</sub> max [IJHHS. 2016;4(2):80–5]

pISSN: 2302-1381; eISSN: 2338-4506; <http://dx.doi.org/10.15850/ijhhs.v4n2.836>

### Introduction

Due to the duty, both in training and operation fields, the military agencies in all over the world always seek new protocols to ensure maximum physical conditions of every soldier. The capabilities possessed by a soldier make him to be considered as “A Tactical Athlete” or an individual who requires a high level of strength, speed, and dexterity.<sup>1,2</sup>

One of several efforts to maintain and increase the degree of physical condition of a soldier is by conducting a physical fitness test to monitor the soldier’s physical fitness that the soldiers achieve strong physical endurance

#### Correspondence:

Maulidi Abdillah, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran-Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital  
Jl. Pasteur No. 38, Bandung, Indonesia  
e-mail: lee\_diet@yahoo.com

and are prepared for every duty.<sup>3,4</sup> One of the components of physical fitness that plays a very important role is the cardiorespiratory endurance or aerobic capacity.

The cardiorespiratory endurance can be measured by measuring the maximum oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub> max).<sup>4</sup> The value of VO<sub>2</sub> max depends on the conditions of cardiovascular, respiratory, hematology, and muscle oxidative capacity.<sup>5,6</sup>

The VO<sub>2</sub> max can be measured using exercise testing, using Coopertest or 12-minute run/walk fitness test. The test is performed by subjects through running or walking as fast as possible for 12 minutes then the mileage is converted to VO<sub>2</sub> max.<sup>7</sup> In addition, there is also a 3200-meter run fitness test that is, running for 3200 meters. The running time is then converted to VO<sub>2</sub> max. A study conducted on Croatian males with 3200-meter run

fitness test was considered valid and accurate to predict the value of  $VO_2$  max.<sup>2</sup> Another study was conducted on the soldiers of Royal Malaysian Navy (RMN) aiming to calculate body composition and the degree of physical fitness during the training with 2.4-kilometer run test.<sup>8</sup> There was a significant relationship between body fat percentage and body mass index (BMI) with 2.4-kilometer run test. In this study, a significant inverse correlation was found between  $VO_2$  max and body fat composition.

The implementation of the physical fitness test of Indonesian army is regulated by the Chief of Army regarding the soldier physical preparedness norm implementation. The 12-minute run fitness test, in Indonesia, was replaced by 3200-meter run fitness test.<sup>9</sup>

A physiological response is regarded as a certain reaction causing physical responses, including those reflected in blood pressure and pulse. Psychologically, the 3200-meter run fitness test is easier and more manageable in terms of the run rhythm and strategy because the mileage basis is set compared to time basis, and with this the value of physical fitness is getting better.<sup>10,11</sup>

However, it is unknown whether there are differences between the  $VO_2$  max prediction and physiological responses manifested in both tests. This study aimed to examine the differences in  $VO_2$  max prediction, physiological response, and Borg scale between 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests among the Indonesian army soldiers.

## Methods

The subjects of the study were the Indonesian army soldiers stationed in Infantry Battalion 303/SSM Cikajang, Garut, West Java, Indonesia with the age of 18–21 years old, no systolic blood pressure of >140 or <90 mmHg and

no diastolic blood pressure of >90 mmHg or <70 mmHg during resting, pulse >100 beats/minute, and consenting to follow the procedures of 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests by signing an informed consent form. The subjects took physical examination and anthropometric measuring leading to 40 subjects in total. The measurements of vital signs, oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry, the Borg scale were conducted before and immediately after the exercise testing. The exercise testing was applied in 2 steps with one week interval for the same subjects and location. The result of the 12-minute run fitness test, that was mileage, was converted to  $VO_2$  max with the formulation<sup>7</sup>:

$$VO_2 \text{ max} = (\text{Mileage in meters} - 504.9)/44.73$$

The running time taken from 3200-meter run fitness test was converted to  $VO_2$  max through the formulation<sup>12</sup>:

$$VO_2 \text{ max (mL.kg.min)} = 118.4 - 4.774 (\text{mileage})$$

The comparison of the  $VO_2$  max prediction from both tests was analyzed statistically by using Mann-Whitney test and the comparison of the physiological response and Borg scale used Wilcoxon Matched Pairs test. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the different values between 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests. Wilcoxon Matched Pairs test was performed to compare two related variables.

## Results

The characteristics of the subjects were examined to measure their physical fitness (Table 1). The normally distributed data used Mean±SD, while the non-normally distributed data used median (minimum-maximum).

**Table 1 Subject Characteristics**

Variable	Subject (n=40)		p Value
	Mean ± SD	Median (min-max)	
Height	-	170 (166-172)	0.005
Weight	-	63 (57-68)	0.034
Age	-	21 (20-21)	0.077
Body mass index	21.7275±0.998457	-	0.000

Body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

## Comparison of VO<sub>2</sub> Max Prediction Value, Physiological Response, and Borg Scale between 12-Minute and 3200-Meter Run Fitness Tests among Indonesian Army Soldiers

In the normality test of the VO<sub>2</sub> max data, non-normally distributed data was found; therefore, the Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction between the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests. The result of the Mann-Whitney test is shown (Table 2).

The significant difference in terms of the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction between the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests was found with p=0.0000 and a degree of reliability of 95%. The 3200-meter run fitness test revealed that the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction value was lower than that of the 12-minute run fitness test.

The depiction of the physiological response before and after both tests is presented (Table 2). There were insignificant statistic results found in all different variable for the 12-minute run fitness test, while in the 3200-meter run fitness test, the SpO<sub>2</sub> was not significant. However, other variables were considered statistically significant.

Significant difference in Borg scale values in before and after the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests were found (Table 3). The comparison between before and after the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests was considered statistically insignificant.

The comparison of physiological response and Borg scale between the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness test before and after the tests were analyzed (Table 4). It turned out that the only significant different was SpO<sub>2</sub> after the tests. Borg Scale shows no significant difference in the result between the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests.

The increase in the physiological response between both run fitness tests was described (Table 5). The significant difference in the

result was only found in SpO<sub>2</sub>. The SpO<sub>2</sub> value was higher in the 12-minute run fitness test.

### Discussion

The characteristics of body weight and height are homogenous (Table 1). The average weight was 63 kg in median and the average height was 170 cm in median. Therefore, the body weight and height parameters will not have significant effect on the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction value resulted from both tests. Body weight affects the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction result according to the correlation strength between VO<sub>2</sub> max and body.<sup>2</sup> The body height affects as well the VO<sub>2</sub> max value, because body height parameter is one of the predictors of VO<sub>2</sub> max value.<sup>10</sup>

The value of VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction in this study was taken from submaximal test of both 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests. Both of these tests have similar characteristics namely easy and cheap for implementation as well as having a competitive atmosphere because they involve subjects together and they need subjects' motivation to complete the tests. The result of VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction in 3200-meter run fitness test is higher than the result of VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction in the 12-minute run fitness test and there was a significant difference with p value of 0.0000. The VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction was higher in 3200-meter run fitness test due to the strategy in managing mileage efficiency, speed and effort required to complete the test or known as the pacing strategy. This strategy may prevent fatigue so that the physical performance enhances and the mileage becomes better.<sup>13</sup> The 12-minute run fitness test requires a strong motivation

**Table 2 The Comparison of Physiological Response Before and After Both Run Fitness Tests**

Variable	12-Minute Run Fitness Test			3200-Meter Run Fitness Test		
	Before (Median)	After (Median)	p Value	Before (Median)	After (Median)	p Value
Systolic	100 (90-120)	130(110-160)	0	102.5±10.8012*	129.5±19.6051	0
Diastolic	70 (50-90)	80 (60-120)	0.0154	70 (60-90)	80 (60-130)	0.0091
Pulse	72.775±10.3267*	124 (94-177)	0	74.5±8.0128*	127 (75-167)	0
Respiratory rate	20.45±4.1197*	33.45±7.8019*	0	21.075±4.1224	34 (94-177)	0
SpO <sub>2</sub>	98 (96-99)	95 (85-98)	0.0082	98 (98-99)	97 (86-98)	0.9374

**Table 3 The Comparison of Borg Scale Before and After Both Run Fitness Tests**

Variable	12-Minute Run Fitness Test			3200-Meter Run Fitness Test		
	Before (Median)	After (Median)	p Value	Before (Median)	After (Median)	p Value
Effort	9 (1-13)	13 (6-17)	0.0000	9 (1-13)	13 (1-19)	0.0000
Asphyxiation	0 (0-10)	3 (0-19)	0.0000	1.5 (0-7)	4 (0-7)	0.0000
Leg fatigue	0.5 (0-5)	3 (0-7)	0.0000	1.5 (0-5)	4 (0-10)	0.0000

and better efforts to complete the test. The subjects may have an impression as if they run against time, which then leads to extreme fatigue and reducing physical performance. Thus, the target of the mileage does not meet the maximum expectation and the VO<sub>2</sub> max resulted lower value.<sup>11</sup>

The result is contrast with a study by Grant which compared the results of the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction between 12-minute walk test, multistage shuttle run test, and submaximal test using cycle ergometer with direct VO<sub>2</sub> max measurement method by ventilator gas and treadmill.<sup>14,15</sup> This study revealed that the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction with 12-minute walk test had a strong correlation (0.92) with the result of VO<sub>2</sub> max taken through direct method.

This difference is possibly caused by the fact that the VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction result in Grant's research is bigger compared to this study with different range age of sample.<sup>14,15</sup>

The limitation of this study is that the tests result taken from VO<sub>2</sub> max measurement using ventilator gas was not compared. Therefore, it is unknown of both these methods resulting closely to the actual VO<sub>2</sub> max.

The physiological responses of both tests show insignificant differences, except for the SpO<sub>2</sub> values (Table 4). The data show that the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests may cause similar responses in blood pressure, respiratory rate, and pulse. The subject responses showed similar results in both tests which were considered statistically

**Table 4 The Comparison of Physiological Response and Borg Scale between the 12-Minute and 3200-Meter Run Fitness Tests**

Variable	12-Minute Run Fitness Test (n=40)		3200-Meter Run Fitness Test (n=40)		p Value
	Before (Median)	After (Median)	Before (Median)	After (Median)	
Systolic before	-	100 (90-120)	102.5±10.8012	-	0.935
Systolic after	-	130(110-160)	129.5±19.6051	-	0.8286
Diastolic before	-	70 (50-90)	-	70 (60-90)	0.9731
Diastolic after	-	80 (60-120)	-	80 (60-130)	0.7655
Pulse before *	72.775±10.3267	-	74.5±8.0128	-	0.4065
Pulse after	-	124 (94-177)	-	127 (75-167)	0.8701
Respiratory rate before*	20.45±4.1197	-	21.075±4.1224	-	0.4996
Respiratory rate after	33.45±7.8019*	-	-	34 (94-177)	0.06
SpO <sub>2</sub> before	-	98 (96-99)	-	98 (98-99)	0.9425

\*Normally distributed data, the rest was non-normally distributed data

**Comparison of VO<sub>2</sub> Max Prediction Value, Physiological Response, and Borg Scale between 12-Minute and 3200-Meter Run Fitness Tests among Indonesian Army Soldiers**

**Table 5 The Differences of Physiological Response Increasing in the 12-Minute and 3200-Meter Run Fitness Tests**

Variable	12-Minute	3200-Meter	p Value
Systolic	20	27	0.954
Diastolic	10	10	0.693
Pulse	51.2	52.5	0.497
Respiratory	13	12.9	0.1157
SpO <sub>2</sub>	3	1	0.0179

insignificant based on Borg scale. This is a hemodynamic response to the exercise according to increased cardiac output to fulfill the oxygen need.<sup>16</sup> The oxygen saturation in the 12-minute run fitness test shows a significant difference value decrement compared to the 3200-meter run fitness test. The 3200-meter run fitness test shows no significant reduction change in SpO<sub>2</sub> value.

The oxygen saturation is an indicator of hemoglobin percentage saturated with oxygen during the measurement. This does not describe the oxygenation status.<sup>16</sup> The oxygen saturation decrement with oxymetry pulse measurement may imply exercise-induced hypoxemia.<sup>17</sup> A study found that hypoxemia occurs when SpO<sub>2</sub> is decreasing from 97% to 92%.<sup>18</sup> Another study also reported that minor exercise-induced hypoxemia may occur when the SpO<sub>2</sub> decreases to 93–95% (3–4%) since resting, from resting with the moderate occurs in 88–92% since resting and the major one occurs when a decrease from 88% happens.<sup>19</sup>

The present study found that there was a significant decrease only for about 3% in the 12-minute run fitness test which can be lead

to a suggestion that the 12-minute run fitness test causes minor hypoxemia. Compared to the 3200-meter run fitness test, the 12-minute run fitness test causes the decrease of oxygen level saturated with hemoglobin. This is due to the fact that the 12-minute run fitness test reaches anaerobic threshold faster causing the decreasing of oxygen level and this is the factor affecting the aerobic performance decreasing leading to lower VO<sub>2</sub> max value.<sup>18</sup>

The observation of oxygen saturation using the oxymetry pulse is also a limitation of this study. This due to the fact that this equipment has a different sensitivity level, depending on the levels of hemoglobin, blood flow, fingers temperature, individual oxygenation capability, venous return and sensor capability.<sup>18</sup>

In conclusion, there are differences of VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction value between the 12-minute and 3200-meter run fitness tests. The value of VO<sub>2</sub> max prediction is higher in the 3200-meter run fitness test. There are no significant differences of physiological response between both tests except for the SpO<sub>2</sub> value which significantly decreases in the 12-minute run fitness test.

## References

1. Heinrich KM, Spencer V, Fehl N, Poston WS. Mission essential fitness: comparison of functional circuit training to traditional Army physical training for active duty military. *Military Med.* 2012;177(10):1125–30.
2. Sporiš G. Validity of 2-miles run test for determination of VO<sub>2</sub> max among soldiers. *J Sport Human Perf.* 2013;1(1):15–22.
3. Hauret KG, Bedno S, Loring K, Kao TC, Mallon T, Jones BH. Epidemiology of exercise- and sports-related injuries in a population of young, physically active adults: a survey of military service members. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(11):2645–53.
4. Sekulic D, Tocilj J. Pulmonary function in military divers: smoking habits and physical fitness training influence. *Mil Med.* 2006;171(11):1071–5.
5. Rodrigues AN, Perez AJ, Carletti L, Bissoli NS, Abreu GR. Maximum oxygen uptake in



- adolescents as measured by cardiopulmonary exercise testing: a classification proposal. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2006;82(6):426-30.
6. Sperlich B, Fricke H, de Marées M, Linville JW, Mester J. Does respiratory muscle training increase physical performance? *Mil Med*. 2009;174(9):977-82.
  7. Cooper CB, Storer TW. Exercise testing and interpretation: a practical approach. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2001.
  8. Sedek R, Koon PB, Noor IM. Relationship between body composition, smoking and physical fitness of Malaysian armed forces naval trainees. 2012;1(12)1-5.
  9. Dinas Penerangan TNI Angkatan Darat. Kajian tes kesegaran jasmani "A" bagi personel kategori usia 50 tahun ke atas. [cited 2015 January 6]. Available from: <http://www.tniad.mil.id/index.php/2014/08/kajian-tes-kesegaran-jasmani-a-bagi-personel-kategori-usia-50-tahun-ke-atas/>.
  10. Sporiš G, Harasim D, Baic M, Kristicevic, Krakan I, Milanovic Z, et al. The effects of basic fitness parameters on the implementation of specific military activities. *Coll Antropol*. 2014;38(Suppl 2):S165-171.
  11. Chuman K, Hoshikawa Y, Iida T, Nishijima J. Reference values for the 3200-m run test on a soccer field for players at the adolescent growth spurt. *Football Sci*. 2015;12(1):33-42.
  12. Weltman J, Seip R, Levine S, Snead D, Kaiser DAR. Prediction of lactate threshold and fixed blood lactate concentrations from 3200-m time trial running performance in untrained females. *Int J Sports Med*. 1989;10(1):207-11.
  13. Nudwinuringtyas N, Laksmi W, Bachtiar A. Healthy adults maximum oxygen uptake prediction from a six minute walking test. *Med J Indones*. 2011;20(3):195-200.
  14. Kyröläinen H, Häkkinen K, Kautiainen H, Santtila M, Pihlainen K, Häkkinen A. Physical fitness, BMI and sickness absence in male military personnel. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 2008;58(4):251-6.
  15. Grant S, Corbett K, Amjad AM, Wilson J, Aitchison T. A comparison of methods of predicting maximum oxygen uptake. *Br J Sports Med*. 1995;29(3):147-52.
  16. Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, Stewart KP, Morgan TM, Eggebeen J, Kitzman DW. Effect of endurance training on the determinants of peak exercise oxygen consumption in elderly patients with stable compensated heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60(2):120-8.
  17. Nourry C, Fabre C, Bart F, Grosbois JM, Berthoin S, Mucci P. Evidence of exercise-induced arterial hypoxemia in prepubescent trained children. *Pediatric Res*. 2004;55(24):674-81.
  18. Garvey C, Tiep B, Carter R, Barnett M, Hart M, Casaburi R. Severe exercise-induced hypoxemia. *Respir Care*. 2012;57(7):1154-60.
  19. Romer LM, Dempsey JA, Lovering A, Eldridge M. Exercise-induced arterial hypoxemia: consequences for locomotor muscle fatigue. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2006;588:47-55.