Relation between Preinfarction Angina and Coronary Collateral Circulation in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction
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Abstract

Background: Coronary collateral circulation conduits an alternative blood flow to the ischemic myocardium in the setting of coronary artery occlusion which can prevent the infarction area to extend more widely. Well-developed coronary collaterals are closely related with the presence of preinfarction angina. However, the duration of preinfarction angina which can induce well-developed coronary collateralization is in controversy. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relation between duration of preinfarction angina and coronary collaterals circulation in patients with acute myocardial infarction.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted from May to November 2013 in Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia. Seventy three acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients were included in the study. The patients were divided into Group 1 (<7 days) and Group 2 (≥7 days) based on their preinfarction angina history. The coronary collaterals were assessed and graded as good (Rentrop score 2–3) and poor (Rentrop score 0–1). Statistical analysis was performed using the chi-square test.

Result: The presence of a well-developed coronary collateral was not significantly different in <7 days than ≥7 days duration of preinfarction angina [50.8% vs 75.0%, p=0.124].

Conclusions: There is no relation between the duration of preinfarction angina and coronary collaterals circulation in patients with acute myocardial infarction. [AMJ.2016;3(1):28–33]
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Introduction

Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) is the leading cause of mortality in the world. The AMI occurs by the occlusion of coronary artery consequently blood perfusion fails to meet the myocardial oxygen demand, leading to the death of the myocardial area supplied by the culprit coronary artery.1

The existence of spontaneous coronary collaterals may be able to limit the expansion of the infarction area, since they provide alternative blood flow to the threatened myocardium. Without significant coronary collaterals, the size of myocardial infarction will continually expand as long as the culprit coronary artery remains occluded.2,3 The presence of functional coronary collaterals also potentially lowers the development of heart failure4 and mortality rate5 after AMI, thus accountable for better prognosis.6

Several previous studies stated the coronary collaterals is stimulated by ischemia, increasing shear stress in the occluded vessels, and the presence of angiogenic growth factor.7,8 On the other hand coronary collateralization is impaired in patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus. In the case of ischemia, the episode angina pectoris as a sign of myocardial ischemia becomes the important predictor of well-developed coronary collaterals vessels.9,10 However the duration of preinfarction angina which can induce well-developed coronary collateralization is in controversy.

The current study was undertaken to evaluate whether the duration of preinfarction angina was related to the development of coronary collaterals circulation.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was done at Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia from May to November 2013. A
consecutive series of 148 angiograms from patients with acute myocardial infarction in the period January to December 2012 were included for coronary collateral analysis. Then, 75 patients were excluded due to their history of old myocardial infarction, history of previous elective Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI), history of previous Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG), and missing medical record data. Therefore, 73 patients were enrolled to the final study population. This investigation was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee and all data regarding patients were concealed.

Furthermore, the patients were divided into two groups according to the time interval between preinfarction angina and hospitalization as follows: Group 1 represent time interval <7 days and Group 2 represent ≥7 days. Preinfarction angina (a history of angina pectoris prior to AMI) was defined as a typical anginal chest pain occurring at rest or during exercise before the onset of AMI. The diagnosis of AMI was based on the typical chest pain lasting more than 30min, ST-segment elevation of at least 1 mm in 2 contiguous precordial leads, and a subsequent increase in the serum creatine kinase concentration to more than twice the upper limit of normal. The patients were considered to have a history of hypertension if their systolic pressure was ≥140 mmHg, and the diastolic pressure was ≥90 mmHg, or if they were currently undergoing a treatment for hypertension. A diagnosis of diabetes mellitus was established on the basis of one of the following three factors: a history of taking insulin or an oral hypoglycemic agent, abnormal preinfarction fasting glucose levels (126 mg/dl), and positive results on a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test.

The Coronary angiograms of the patients were evaluated and collaterals were then scored based on Rentrop classification as Grade 0 (non-developed, no collaterals were visible), Grade 1 (less-developed, only side branches, but no major trunk, were visualized through collaterals), Grade 2 (well-developed, partial filling of the epicardial segment of the stenosed artery through collaterals) or Grade 3 (complete filling of the epicardial segment). Then, further classified as good (Rentrop score 2−3) and poor (Rentrop score 0–1) coronary collaterals.

Moreover, the continuous variables are presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD), and the categorical data are summarized as frequencies or percentages. The Chi-square test was used to examine the proportional differences between categorical variables. The result was considered statistically significant at p value <0.05 for 2-sided test. All data were analyzed by using the computer based Statistical Packages for Social Sciences version 20 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Among the 73 study patients, there was more male (n=61, 84%) than female. The overall mean age was 57 ± 10.5 with the youngest age at attack was 30 years. While hypertension, diabetes mellitus and critical occlusion were more than 90%, and were more prevalent in group 2 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>All patients (n=73)</th>
<th>Group 1 (&lt;7 days) (n=61)</th>
<th>Group 2 (≥7 days) (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age-yr</td>
<td>57 (±10.5)</td>
<td>56.1 (± 9.8)</td>
<td>61.2 (± 13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61 84%</td>
<td>53 87%</td>
<td>8 67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 26%</td>
<td>8 13%</td>
<td>4 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>38 51%</td>
<td>28 46%</td>
<td>10 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>16 24%</td>
<td>10 16%</td>
<td>6 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of preinfarction angina-days</td>
<td>3.4 (±3.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (±2.3)</td>
<td>8.8 (±2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of occluded vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 90% occlusion</td>
<td>31 43%</td>
<td>29 48%</td>
<td>2 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;90% occlusion</td>
<td>42 57%</td>
<td>32 52%</td>
<td>10 83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Good coronary collateral vessels were found in 40 patients (54%). We found that there were more patients with well-developed collaterals among group 2 patients (n=9, 75.0%) and were likewise among group 1 patients (n=31, 50.8%). However, it found that there was no significant difference between duration of first preinfarction angina to hospitalization and the presence of well-developed coronary collaterals (p=0.124).

Discussion

The current study revealed that there was more male than female study patients. This result is similar with many other studies which shows a higher prevalence of male among patients with acute myocardial infarction. The study also showed the proportion of well-developed collaterals was 54%. This result is in accordance with other recent data from patients with acute myocardial infarction. The documented prevalence of well-developed coronary collateral circulation in acute myocardial infarction intervention has varied from 15 to 55%.

On the other hand, it found longer duration of preinfarction angina particularly ≥7 days, which was not related to the presence of well-developed collateral as other studies. Herlitz et al. showed that the patients with chronic angina pectoris before an acute myocardial infarction had smaller infarct compared with short duration angina pectoris before the episode of MI due to the presence of well-developed coronary collaterals. In addition, Antoniucci et al. showed coronary collateral circulation were clearly visible 6 hours after myocardial ischemia. However, our finding can be explained by other experimental and clinical studies which revealed coronary collateral circulation developed perfectly 8 weeks after myocardial infarction or a period of 3 months of ischemic condition marked by preinfarction angina episode. These varied findings might be due to the different classification of duration preinfarction angina and the methods used to assess coronary collaterals.

Preinfarction angina is caused by myocardial ischemia, whereas Myocardial ischemia can be a sufficient stimulus to induce coronary collateral development, possibly through biochemical preconditioning by releasing the angiogenic growth factor. Additionally, the exposure to hypoxia stimulates the accumulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mRNA. Many other genes involved in angiogenesis are also upregulated in response to hypoxia including cardiac macrophage. However the development of collateral arteries through arteriogenesis is not dependent on ischemia. Coronary collateral circulation were clearly visible 6 hours after myocardial ischemia. However, our finding can be explained by other experimental and clinical studies which revealed coronary collateral circulation developed perfectly 8 weeks after myocardial infarction or a period of 3 months of ischemic condition marked by preinfarction angina episode. These varied findings might be due to the different classification of duration preinfarction angina and the methods used to assess coronary collaterals.

Good coronary collateral vessels were found in 40 patients (54%). We found that there were more patients with well-developed collaterals among group 2 patients (n=9, 75.0%) and were likewise among group 1 patients (n=31, 50.8%). However, it found that there was no significant difference between duration of first preinfarction angina to hospitalization and the presence of well-developed coronary collaterals (p=0.124).

Discussion

The current study revealed that there was more male than female study patients. This result is similar with many other studies which shows a higher prevalence of male among patients with acute myocardial infarction. The study also showed the proportion of well-developed collaterals was 54%. This result is in accordance with other recent data from patients with acute myocardial infarction. The documented prevalence of well-developed coronary collateral circulation in acute myocardial infarction intervention has varied from 15 to 55%.

On the other hand, it found longer duration of preinfarction angina particularly ≥7 days, which was not related to the presence of well-developed collateral as other studies. Herlitz et al. showed that the patients with chronic angina pectoris before an acute myocardial infarction had smaller infarct compared with short duration angina pectoris before the episode of MI due to the presence of well-developed coronary collaterals. In addition, Antoniucci et al. showed coronary collateral circulation were clearly visible 6 hours after myocardial ischemia. However, our finding can be explained by other experimental and clinical studies which revealed coronary collateral circulation developed perfectly 8 weeks after myocardial infarction or a period of 3 months of ischemic condition marked by preinfarction angina episode. These varied findings might be due to the different classification of duration preinfarction angina and the methods used to assess coronary collaterals.

Preinfarction angina is caused by myocardial ischemia, whereas Myocardial ischemia can be a sufficient stimulus to induce coronary collateral development, possibly through biochemical preconditioning by releasing the angiogenic growth factor. Additionally, the exposure to hypoxia stimulates the accumulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mRNA. Many other genes involved in angiogenesis are also upregulated in response to hypoxia including cardiac macrophage. However the development of collateral arteries through arteriogenesis is not dependent on ischemia. Coronary collateral circulation were clearly visible 6 hours after myocardial ischemia. However, our finding can be explained by other experimental and clinical studies which revealed coronary collateral circulation developed perfectly 8 weeks after myocardial infarction or a period of 3 months of ischemic condition marked by preinfarction angina episode. These varied findings might be due to the different classification of duration preinfarction angina and the methods used to assess coronary collaterals.

Preinfarction angina is not only a specific marker of myocardial ischemia but is simultaneously a sign in the presence of severe coronary occlusion. The formation of coronary collateral vessels has initiated the development of an critically acute occluded coronary artery (>90%). An acutely reduction of the arterial diameter creates larger interarterial pressure gradient between the arterial segment before and after the stenoses, inducing shear stress to surrounding arteriolar endothelial cells. This will stimulate the arteriolar endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and fibroblast leading to their proliferation, migration and remodeling to create larger functional muscular arteries that can provide an alternative blood flow to the jeopardized myocardial area. This explained that the pathophysiological process of preinfarction angina may lead to the development of good coronary collateral vessels through biochemical and mechanical pathways.

There were several limitations in this study. First, the use of coronary angiography, by which some collateral vessels with a diameter of <100 µm were not visualized for the evaluation of collateral circulation. coronary collaterals may be more accurately assessed.
assessed by the collateral flow index with the simultaneous measurement of aortic pressure and the distal pressure within the occluded segment of the culprit coronary artery. However, the angiographic approach to the classification of collateral flow still remain the standard of reference in the clinical setting. The second limitation was the difficulty to determine the exact origin of the symptoms of each patient since preinfarction angina is a subjective marker of myocardial ischemia. Finally, myocardial ischemia, not angina, plays an important role in the development of collateral circulation as mentioned above. Therefore, myocardial ischemia including silent ischemia that occurs before the onset of the myocardial infarction should have been evaluated. As more than half of the patients were admitted with a first symptom, it was difficult to document the presence or absence of myocardial ischemia.

In conclusion, this study shows that there is no relation between duration of preinfarction angina (<7 days or ≥7 days) and coronary collateral circulation. The development and pathophysiological process of collateralization may explain the results.
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