Public Opinion Regarding Information on Porcine-Contained Medications
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Abstract

Background: Drugs are biological products, a single or combination of active and additives components. Some additional components are derived from porcine, a substance that is haram for Muslims. Patient's rights, such as medication information, are substantial in decision-making process. Medical decision-making in the Eastern culture is not only the patient's affair; but also family's interest. This study aimed to determine the public opinion on that matter.

Methods: This study was conducted in October–November 2014 using qualitative methods. Data collection was performed in the District of Jatinangor, Sumedang Regency by using the purposive sampling method. Six respondents were selected. Data were collected through in-depth interview techniques and were analyzed using content analysis technique.

Results: There were 3 main responses regarding the respondent's opinions. First, respondents showed several attitudes toward drugs in general and also several attitudes toward porcine-contained drugs. Respondents showed concern for the medications used and wished either to be informed or not about the prohibited substance in the drugs. The decision-making process of respondents was performed by each individual or family, with the guidance of religious scholars. In a doctor-patient relationship, the doctors should be more active in providing information on the medications and the opportunity for patients to choose drugs. Respondents also had a high dependency to the physicians in making medical decisions.

Conclusions: Public opinion on patient's rights regarding porcine-contained drugs shows the people's attitude towards drugs in general and porcine-contained drugs in particular, the decision-making process and the relationship between physicians and patients.
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Introduction

Drugs are biological products, whether in a single or combination form of several active ingredients and other supplementary materials that function to support the effects of the drug.1 Some drugs have additional ingredients derived from porcine.2 On the other hand, Indonesia is the largest Muslim country in the world with a total of Muslim population of about 87% of the overall population.2 Muslims are prohibited from consuming products derived from porcine, as stated in the Quran.3

Religion is one of the basic human rights. Mentioning about human rights, the right of health is also included.4 It consists of the right to healthcare and the right to self-determination.5 Patient's rights of autonomy are the construct of the right to self-determination, including the right of complete explanations of the medical measures that will be undertaken and the right to decide what kind of treatment will be performed, and also the information about the medicine.5 The patient's medication information would affect the treatment decision-making process, because information is an important weapon in the process.6 A medical decision, in Eastern cultures, is not only according to patients' preferences, but also a common interest of the whole family.7
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Based on the explanation above, a study was conducted to determine the public opinions on patient's rights regarding the information of porcine-contained medications.

Methods

The study was conducted in October to November 2014 in the District of Jatinangor, West Java, Indonesia using qualitative methods. The study population was the people who lived in the District of Jatinangor. Sampling was performed using the purposive sampling technique based on the information's degree of saturation. The selected respondents consisted of six people. Those who were willing to participate in the study were 17 years old and above, had a minimum of elementary education, were Muslims, were able to communicate well and had adequate language skills.

Data were collected through in-depth interview using semi-structured technique. Observation of the respondents was needed so that the interview and results would be understood in its context. The average duration of the interviews took 15–30 minutes and it was carried out in Bahasa Indonesia. The interview guide referred to a list of questions prepared according to the Four Box Method, with the advice from assisting researchers. The Four Box Method is a framework made to guide physicians to be able to decide on cases involving ethical issues.

The topics of interview centered on: 1) The knowledge of information about the drugs used 2) The attitude of respondents towards porcine-contained drugs 3) Matters relating to medical decision-making process.

The voice recordings of the interviews were transcribed into verbatim using Bahasa Indonesia. Content analysis was then performed on the transcript by the primary researcher and assisting researcher as peer-review. Afterwards, the data reduction was performed, then the withdrawal of pattern in the form of coding was conducted, and lastly, the data were divided into several categories.

Prior to the interview, the respondents were given a brief explanation of the interview objective, topic of discussion, confidentiality of information and right to refuse to participate in the research. The respondents realized that the interview process was recorded and may refuse to be recorded if not pleased. Ethical approval was attained from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran and Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital Bandung. During the presentation of the data, the personal data of the respondents remain anonymous.

Results

According to the information given by the respondents, some important things could be drawn.

Based on the interviews, the attitude of the respondents towards drugs in general, it revealed that the respondents were concerned about the drugs they were using, including the effects, content, and side effects of the drugs. These answers were attained from the respondents who were pregnant.

“Kalo dikasih obat baru, apalagi lagi hamil kalo dikasih obat baru sama bidannya aku teh langsung buka Google kan, bener ga sih, ya namanya dokter kan sama sama manusia kan, sampe ke isi kandungannya, jadi suka nyari sendiri.” (Responden 1)

“If I were given a new drug by the midwife, especially when I am pregnant, I will straight search the drug through Google, whether it is the right drug or not, as doctors are also l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (years old)</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Additional info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>Pregnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>College student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>College student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>College student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Private employee</td>
<td>Pregnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>College student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Data Analysis Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toward drugs in general</td>
<td>Concern for the drugs in use, including information about the content because she is pregnant and to be able to treat themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>Not concern for the drugs in use, characterized by directly receiving the drug from the doctors. The information provided are sufficient, as long as the treatment goals are met, the drug information becomes less important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toward porcine-contained drugs</td>
<td>Prefer alternative drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Willing to use porcine-contained drugs if other alternatives are unavailable and in an emergency situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Willing to be informed for reasons of respecting one’s religion, different perspectives on porcine, preventing physicians to feel guilty about giving the medication, advancing knowledge and patient’s right to decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unwilling to be informed so that they would not feel guilty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For themselves without interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making process</td>
<td>Medical decisions is in the hand of the family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consult to religion scholars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expectations for the doctors to be able to be more actively informed about porcine-contained drugs and other treatment information to the patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor-patient relationship</td>
<td>Lack of choices of drugs and the opportunity to choose it independently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patient’s trust in doctors is high, the assumption is that the physician are experts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

human, right? I will search the content also, by myself.” (Respondent 1)

However, there were also respondents who were not concern about the content of the drug in use.

“Ga pernah baca baca komposisinya, ga pernah mau tau apa itu obatnya, langsung minum aja.” (Respondent 2)

“I never read the compositions, and never want to know what drug it is either, I just drink it directly.” (Respondent 2)

The attitude of the respondents to porcine-contained drugs, respondents preferred using alternative medicines than porcine-contained drugs because of religious prohibitions. Respondents were also willing to use porcine-contained drugs if there were no other alternatives.

“Pertimbangan saya yang kalo misalnya saya kan beragama islam sesuai pandangan agama seperti itu pasti ya kalo misalnya tidak ada jalan alternatif lain ya sah sah saja, tetapi ketika ada obat herbal atau seperti apa yang dapat menyembuhkan, kenapa tidak menggunakan obat herbal terlebih dahulu.” (Respondent 6)

“My consideration is my religion, Islam, if there is no alternative, than it is legitimate to be consumed, but when there are herbal medicines or anything else available that can cure, why do not use that first.” (Respondent 6)

Respondents wished to be informed about the content of porcine in the drug for the following reasons; respecting one’s religion, different perspectives on porcine, so doctors would not feel guilty for giving the medications, to advance the patient’s knowledge, and the patient’s right to know and to choose whether to use the drug or not.

“Seharusnya dikasih tau, supaya banyak pengetahuan, kalo dokternya sempet mah buat ngejelasin...” (Respondent 5)

“It should be informed, so that we gain more knowledge, if the doctor have more time also to give explanation...” (Respondent 5)

“Sebaiknya dikasih tau soalnya kan beberapa pandangan orang kan ada yang berbeda beda jadi usahakan untuk tranparanlah terbuka obat ini tuh mengandung apa apa biar masyarakat tuh tau ternyata yang dilihat tuh bukan dari hanya pandangan sebelah mata tapi bisa menyembuhkan juga.” (Respondent 6)

“It should inform because of the people’s different perspectives, try to keep the transparency of all the content of the drugs so
that the society would not judge and so they would also benefit for curing.” (Respondent 6) “Dikasih tau, karna itu hak pasien buat memutuskan, takutnya kalo pasien tau diluar, kan kita gatau dari kalangan mana, tingkat ilmunya sampe mana, mereka kan bisa aja nuntut dokternya, misalnya mereka cuman tau kegunaannya, yang mereka tau kan babi dan hal-hal buruknya.” (Responden 4) “It should be informed because it is the patient’s right to decide, we would not want the patient to misunderstand about the porcine itself and eventually, they would sue the doctor for not being truthful.” (Respondent 4) “Ya kalo ga dikasih tau takutnya dokternya yang merasa berdosa mungkin, sebenarnya ya tergantung masing masing, cuman kasih tau mah ya kasih tau aja, soalnya itu kan pilihan si orangnya kalo misalkan ga dikasih tau juga ya gapapa.” (Responden 2) “If it was not informed, the doctor might feel guilty, depending on each person because it was their decision, but I think they should be informed.” (Respondent 2) “Sebenarnya mungkin lebih baik dikasih tau, soalnya kan ada beberapa orang yang saklek dengan agamanya, mungkin buat ngehargain agamanya juga, ya lebih baik dikasih tau juga..” (Responden 3) “Actually, it is best to be informed, also to respect their religion, there may be people who are very strict in their religion.” (Respondent 3) Respondents would not wish to know the content of porcine-contained drugs, so that respondents would not feel guilty to use the drug. “Karena biar ga merasa bersalah aja, yang penting sembuh alhamdulillah, soalnya kalo dikasih tau kan mikir aduh minum ga yah, kalo ga minum ga sembuh, kalo minum ya merasa bersalah sedikit, ya jadi mending gatau jadi minum aja.” (Responden 3) “So I would not feel guilty about it, if it cured me alhamdulillah, because if I was informed about the porcine, I would have hesitated to use the drugs.” (Respondent 3) The decision making process of the respondents, respondents gave the responsibility of a dilemma treatment decision to the family. “.dirundingkan dulu gitu, walaupun udah tau ada babinya haram, tapi kalo kondisi nya urgent bener bener butuh. Pokonya konsultasi dulu dirundingkan dulu.” (Responden 1) “I would discuss it first, although we already knew about the existence of the porcine, I would consult this matter first.” (Respondent 1) Respondents chose to decide for themselves regarding the dilemmatic treatment was for
Lack of findings, family factors have no impact.

The rights of patients in this case were divided into two. Respondents who are concerned of the drugs they have been using usually browse for information about the drug via internet, according to the findings of Gavgani et al. While, respondents who are not concerned about information of the drugs are influenced by the lack of awareness about the importance to obtain full information about treatment. This situation might be due to the lack of understanding about the rights of patients as stated in a study by Kagoya et al. Lack of information can influence the health-seeking behavior of the patients, it can be seen from the statement of the respondents regarding the patients habits of self-treatment.

Speaking of porcine-contained drugs, respondents recognized that the use of porcine in food and medicine are prohibited by their religion. Easterbrook et al. stated that the use of porcine is allowed for Muslims in term of the other alternatives are unavailable and in an emergency situation. According to Easterbrook et al., religions and beliefs could influence one’s decision making. In addition, the respondents also had the desire to be informed or not about the porcine content in the drug. This is one’s own right as a patient, according to Entwistle et al. and Truog.

Respondents chose to decide for themselves whether they would use the porcine-contained drugs or not. On the other hand, there were respondents who submitted to the family’s decision, which is similar with the findings of Letendre et al. In contrast to Schumann et al. findings, family factors have no impact on the respondent’s decision to use porcine-contained drugs, even though, Schumann et al. stated that family, religion and culture can influence the patient’s medical decision making.

Furthermore, the experience of the respondents showed that physicians act less actively in helping patients to implement the rights as a patient, such as in the findings of Kagoya et al. The rights of patients in this case were the information on drugs. The respondents were only concerned about the ability of the drugs to cure their disease.

The doctor prescribed the drugs without asking anything because I would not understand anything; he is the doctor, he must be smarter than I am.” (Respondent 1)

Discussion

The respondents’ attitude toward drugs in general, including on information about the content of the drugs were divided into two. Respondents who are concerned of the drugs they have been using usually browse for information about the drug via internet, according to the findings of Gavgani et al. While, respondents who are not concerned about information of the drugs are influenced by the lack of awareness about the importance to obtain full information about treatment. This situation might be due to the lack of understanding about the rights of patients as stated in a study by Kagoya et al. Lack of information can influence the health-seeking behavior of the patients, it can be seen from the statement of the respondents regarding the patients habits of self-treatment.

Speaking of porcine-contained drugs, respondents recognized that the use of porcine in food and medicine are prohibited by their religion. Easterbrook et al. stated that the use of porcine is allowed for Muslims in term of the other alternatives are unavailable and in an emergency situation. According to Easterbrook et al., religions and beliefs could influence one’s decision making. In addition, the respondents also had the desire to be informed or not about the porcine content in the drug. This is one’s own right as a patient, according to Entwistle et al. and Truog.

Respondents chose to decide for themselves whether they would use the porcine-contained drugs or not. On the other hand, there were respondents who submitted to the family’s decision, which is similar with the findings of Letendre et al. In contrast to Schumann et al. findings, family factors have no impact on the respondent’s decision to use porcine-contained drugs, even though, Schumann et al. stated that family, religion and culture can influence the patient’s medical decision making.

Furthermore, the experience of the respondents showed that physicians act less actively in helping patients to implement the rights as a patient, such as in the findings of Kagoya et al. The rights of patients in this case were the information on drugs. The respondents were only concerned about the ability of the drugs to cure their disease.
The right of information is crucial for the implementation of informed consent. Moreover, Hammami et al.\textsuperscript{13} stated that informed consent has a very important role in the medical decision-making process of the patients. According to Hargianti Dini Iswandari\textsuperscript{5}, in a therapeutic relationship between doctor-patient, informed consent serves to protect the patients and the physicians.

From the interviews, it appeared that the patient’s trust in physicians remained high because the physicians were considered as the expertise. This relationship is called the paternalistic relationship. Nevertheless, Truog\textsuperscript{11} mentions that the relationship between doctor and patient in this current era should be shared-decision making relationship.\textsuperscript{11} The limitation of this study is the limited research and observation time.

The conclusion of this study is the public opinion about the patient’s rights regarding the information on porcine-contained medications includes the public attitudes towards drugs in general, public attitudes towards porcine-contained drugs, the doctor-patient relationship, and one’s decision-making process.
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