Relationship between Nutritional Status and Flat Foot in Children
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Abstract

Background: Pediatric flatfoot has been reported as the highest clinical complains for foot problems in pediatric department. Nutritional status has showed great influence on the occurrence of flat foot. There are many debates regarding whether underweight or overweight children are more prone to flatfoot. The aim of this study was to analyze the relationship between nutritional status and flatfoot in children.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted from July to October 2015 in 3 primary schools in Kecamatan Jatinangor. There were 259 children of grade 4 to 5 included in this study. Flatfoot screening was based on measurement of footprint and calculation using Chippaux-smirak index (CSI). The height and weight of children were measured to obtain their Body Mass Index (BMI). Nutritional status was classified based on CDC BMI-for-age growth charts. Analysis was done using chi-square test.

Results: There was significant association between nutritional status and flatfoot with p value<0.001. The prevalence of flatfoot in children grade 4to5is40%. When compared to the normal weight children, the overweight children showed prevalence ratio of 1.97 (95% CI:1.47 to 2.64) while the underweight children showed prevalence ratio of 1.34 (95% CI:1.78 to 2.25).This stated that the risk of developing flat foot was higher in overweight children.

Conclusions: There is significant association between nutritional status with children grade 4 to 5. Overweight children are more prone to flat foot. [AMJ.2017;4(1):152–6]
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Introduction

Flat foot has been reported as the highest clinical complain for foot problem in pediatric department.1 In 2005, the prevalence of flat foot in children aged 3 to 6 was more than 40%.2 Based on the Bio Med Central musculoskeletal disorder research, the prevalence of flatfoot in children of aged 2 to 6 years was between 21% to 57%.3 Flatfoot is a condition where the foot arch is collapsed with the entire sole touching the ground. There are 2 types so flatfoot. Flexible flatfoot is characterized by flattening of arch during weight bearing and rigid flat foot is characterized by stiff, fixed arch even without weight bearing. Many factors are associated with flatfoot. There are ligament laxity, collagen disorder, muscular and neurologic abnormalities.1

Foot arch is essential for human gait and support. Physiologically, the foot arch not only serves as a shock absorber but it is also ideally distributes the bodyweight. Without an arch, the foot will be more rigid leading to a greater impact from our body weight to be transmitted to the skeletal system.4 As times go by, this will lead to the development of musculoskeletal disorders such as spine disorder.5

Furthermore, flat foot patient will suffer from pain in their foot, instability as well as limitation in traveling long journey.6 If there is no proper treatment towards this condition, a child might have delay in motor development.7

Over decades, there have been many debates regarding the effect of nutritional status towards pediatric flatfoot. Based on the research conducted by Pfeiffer et al.2, it is postulated that obese and overweight children have a higher prevalence in flatfoot due to excessive loading on the feet. However, there
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was one research conducted in Australia which stated that heavier children had less flatfoot. This study was carried out to analyze the relationship between nutritional status and flat foot in children.

Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted from July to October 2015 in 3 primary schools located in Jatinangor sub-district, Sumedang district, West Java Province, Indonesia. The target population was grade 4 to 5 primary school children in Kecamatan Jatinangor. The samples were selected by multistage sampling method. In the first stage, 3 primary schools were randomly selected from a total of 29 primary schools in Kecamatan Jatinangor. The selected primary schools were SDN Jatiroke 1, SDN Paripurna and SDN Cikeruh 1. From each selected schools, only children from grade 4 and 5 ranging from 9 to 12 years old were chosen. At this range of ages, the development of foot arch almost reach edit speak and the flatness of foot arch will be more remarkable. Lastly, all the children from grade 4 and 5 were included as the research sample, which consist of 288 children.

The inclusion criteria in this study were primary school children of grade 4 to 5 in Kecamatan Jatinangor who were present in class during data collection, willing to participate and had their parents signed the inform consent form. Children who were not in grade 4 to 5 and had any abnormalities in foot besides flatfoot are excluded. All the subjects must fulfill the inclusive and exclusive criteria before being selected as research subject. The study was conducted after obtaining clearance from the health research ethical committee. Prior to data collection, informed consent was carried out by explaining the procedure, benefit and risk of this research.

Clinical diagnosis of flat foot was based on the measurement of footprint obtained and calculation of Chippaux-smirak index (CSI). Footprint method is a standardized method used for flatfoot screening. In this method, a child was asked to stepped on an ink print mat (podograph) and after a few minutes the child was asked to step on a paper. This was followed by calculation of Chippaux-smirak index to determine the percentage of foot arch. Chippaux-smirak index is a highly sensitive foot print measurement, having a predictive probability of more than 90%. The CSI was defined as ratio of the length of line B (narrowest point on foot arch) divided by the length of line A (maximum width at the metatarsals) (B/A×100%). CSI consist of five categories which were 0%: high arch foot; 0.1% to 29.9%: normal arched foot; 30% to 39.9%: intermediate foot; 40% to 44.9%: low arch foot; >45%: flatfoot. In this study, flat foot was diagnosed when either side of the foot had an abnormal depression of the foot arch.

The height and weight of the children were measured according to standard procedure. The height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a calibrated stadiometer (Model APAHW002) and the weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 kg with a calibrated electronic scale. The Body Mass Index was then calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the square root of height. The classification system for children nutritional status according to the CDC BMI-for-age growth charts were underweight (<5th percentile), normal (5th percentile to < 85th percentile) and Overweight (>85th percentile). The data was analyzed using computer. All the data collected were cross checked for completeness and accuracy. Chi square test was used to determine the relationship of nutritional status and flat foot in children. The assumption of chi-square must be fulfilled. The risk of difference group of nutritional status in developing flat foot is determined by calculating the prevalence ratio. The result is statistically significant when the p value is <0.05.

Results

In this study, the amount of subjects from grade 4 to 5 was 288 children. However, there were 29 children who failed to fulfill the inclusion criteria. Thus, only 259 children were included in this study. Among the 259 children ranging from 9-12 years old, 103 children were diagnosed to have flat foot. The prevalence of flat foot in this study was 40%.

The demographic characteristics of the subjects were shown in Table 1. Based on the results in Table 1, there was no difference in the prevalence of flat foot in male and female children. The median age was homogenous for children with flatfoot and non flat foot children. The three selected schools have also showed not much difference in the proportion of flat foot in children.

The chisquare results were presented in contingency table (Table 2). The difference in prevalence of flat foot from the 3 groups of nutrition status was significant with p value <0.001. Overweight children showed the
The highest prevalence among the children with flat foot.

The results of prevalence ratio were showed in Table 2. The normal weight children were used as a control for underweight and overweight children to determine the risk of malnutrition in developing flatfoot. The comparison between normal weight and overweight children were found to be significant with p value <0.001 but the results for comparison between underweight and normal weight children were not significant as the interval crossed. This stated that overweight children had a higher risk of developing flatfoot compared to underweight and normal weight children.

Discussion

In this study, the results revealed that there was no difference in the proportion of flatfoot in male versus female children. In most researches, boys were claimed to have a higher prevalence of flatfoot than girls. Hazzaa et al. reported that the proportion of flatfoot in male was 52% and female was 49%.

However, there were also some researches that stated female children had higher prevalence of flatfoot compare to male. This is showed in Kachoosangy et al. study where the prevalence of flat foot in female was 75.2% male was 72.6%.

However, the mechanism that differ the proportion of flatfoot in both sex is not well understood. The main findings of the study are nutritional status is strongly associated with pediatric flat foot with overweight children as the highest risk of developing flat foot compared to normal weight and underweight children. In this study, the risk of developing flatfoot in overweight children is almost twice higher than underweight children. This finding is consistent with the previous research that reported overweight children had flatter foot compare to normal and underweight children. For example, Pfeiffer et al. demonstrated that the probability of flatfoot in overweight and obese children were 3 times more than normal weight children.

There was a study conducted in Spain which reported that the arch height in obese children increased at the rate of 3.7% which is 1.1% slower than the normal weight children. However, findings from Evans demonstrated that flat foot was lesser in heavy children.

Table 1 Characteristic of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Flat foot</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=103)</td>
<td>No (n=156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>53% (40)</td>
<td>60% (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50% (40)</td>
<td>60% (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median(range)</td>
<td>10(9–12)</td>
<td>10(8–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNCikeruh1</td>
<td>40% (27)</td>
<td>60% (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNParipurna</td>
<td>38% (44)</td>
<td>62% (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNJatiroke1</td>
<td>42% (32)</td>
<td>58% (44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Association between nutritional status and flatfoot in children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional status</th>
<th>Flat foot (%)</th>
<th>Prevalence ratio (95%CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: pvalue <0.001, CI=confident interval
is because the results between flatfoot and anthropometric factors in Evans's study were not significant.

There were a few theories that supported the result of this study which stated that overweight children had a higher risk of developing flatfoot. One of them were obese children had higher adiposity underneath the foot, which lowers the height of the foot arch leading to the development of flatfoot. According to Chougala et al., obese children showed higher dynamic and static plantar pressure. This results in structural changes and increase in surface contact between the sole and the ground. Another theory is that the increased amount of fats in belly contribute to the weakening of surrounding muscles of the foot which can deviate the line of gravity, thus applying greater force on the foot. In long term, the internal structure of the foot might develop pathologies and injuries. This will predispose to clinical disorder like foot pain, instability, spine injury and scoliosis. Physicians should be aware that reduction in body weight in overweight children can be effective in management of flat foot. Additional research is recommended to study the effect of weight towards flatfoot as part of the management.

There were a few limitations in this study. First, this study used a cross sectional design and were unable to prove the causal relationship between the variables. A new prospective study to determine the causal relationship between the variable is recommended. Furthermore, this study did not control for genetic and physical activity which are confounding to the results.

In conclusion, overweight children have greater risk of developing flatfoot than underweight children and normal weight children.
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